IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3291 OF 2006

Ashok Pore & Amit Ashok Pore .. Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

Mr.Sudatta Patil for applicants

Ms.M.H.Mhatre, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 5th September 2006.

P.C.

. Learned Counsel appearing for applicant does not dispute that the remedy of the applicant is to approach learned Sessions Judge for the relief that is claimed in the instant application

i.e. for seeking anticipatory bail.

2. Applicant's Advocate is not sure whether the offences are bailable or not. If they are so, then also he has remedy available to him in law. Either which way one looks at it, this application is misconceived and not maintainable. It is accordingly dismissed with liberty to approach appropriate court for appropriate relief.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)